IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE AT HUZURABAD

O.S.NO OF 2023

Between:

Smt. Potharaju Aruna

And … Plaintiff

1. Potharaju Venkataiah
2. Potharaju Yadagiri
3. Potharaju Veeraiah
4. Potharaju Ramaiah @ Ramulu
5. Potharaju Lachaiah
6. Potharaju Srinivas … Defendants

WRITTENSTATEMENT FILED BY THE DEFENDANT NO.1 TO 6

The defendant no 1 to 6 submitting their written statement in which the all those things are denied by this defendants except specifically admitted by these defendants

1)It is submitted that the plaintiff is the absolute owner and possessor of the Agricultural Lands out of Sy. No. 954 / A to an extent of Ac.0.09 guntas, Sy. No. 955-10/B to an extent of Sy.No. 955/7B to an Extent of Ac.0.07 guntas, Sy. No. 955/8/A to an extent of Ac.0.04 guntas, Sy .No. 955/8 to an extent Of Ac.0.13 guntas which is one compact block total to the extent of Ac.0.39 guntas situated at , Akunoor Gram Panchayath, Saidapoor Mandal, of Karimnagar District,is false and denied , the particulars of which are more vividly given in the schedule is false and received annexed hereto and the same is hereinafter be referred to as “Suit Schedule Property” for better convenience of the Hon’ble Court. It is further submitted that originally one Mr. Potharaju Raghu Pal, S/o. Venkataiah, R/o. Vekkenapally Village, Saidapur Mandal, Karimnagar District is the absolute owner, possessor and pattedar of the schedule property is false. His name has been lurking in the entire Revenue Records in ownership, possessory and pattedar columns and he also assigned with Pattedar and Title Deed Pass Books by the then Tahsildar , Saidapur Mandal vide Patta No. 661 and Pass Book No.278816 is also false. It is also submitted that the said pattedar in order to meet his family and personal requirements has alienated the schedule property in favour of one Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy, S/o. Ram reddy , R/o. Dammakkapet, H/o. Huzurabad Mandal, of Karimnagar District under the Regd. Sale document bearing No .2081/2017 , Dated:13-06-2017 is also false. It is further submitted that after purchase the schedule property the said purchaser Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy approached before the Revenue Authorities and made application to mutate his name in the

Revenue Records is also false and denied. As such, the Revenue Authorities and having satisfied with the valid title and possession of the plaintiff’s vendor Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy mutated his name in the entire Revenue Records pertaining to the schedule property and also the Government of Telangana State has issued Digital Pass Book in his favors vide Pass Book No.T17150101431 vide Khata No.2082 is also false and denied. It is further submitted that the plaintiff’s vendor used to cultivate the schedule property and used to enjoy the usufruct of the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interfearence of any one is also false. It is also submitted that, it is not out of the place to mention that the plaintiff’s vendor Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy in order to meet his requirements alienated the schedule property in favour of the plaintiff herein under the Regd. Sale document bearing No. 2360/2019, Dated: 02-05-2019 by receiving the valid sale consideration with defacto delivery of possession is also false. As such, the plaintiff has been inducted into the possession of the schedule property and she has been enjoying the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interfearence of any one is also false. It is further submitted that the plaintiff’s name also mutated in the entire Revenue Record pertaining to the schedule property in ownership, possessory and pattedar columns and the Government of Telangana State also assigned Digital Pass Book to the plaintiff herein bearing Pass Book NO. T17150103037 vide Khata No.60828 and that the plaintiff has been cultivating the schedule property and enjoying the usufruct of the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interference of any one is also false. It is also submitted that all the aforesaid facts and circumstances stared supra are vouch safe for that there is legal flow of title to the plaintiff pertaining to the schedule property and she had been enjoying the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interference of any one is also false. The plaintiff in lieu of her contention is herewith filing all relevant and important documents which may be read as part and parcel of the plaint for better adjudication of the matter is also the false. Which are created only for the pupose of this suit only with an intention to grab the suit land filed this false suit

2)It is submitted that the Defendants are no way concerned with all suit schedule property or any part thereof and they are strangers and imposters for the same. It is also submitted that the defendant No.1 is the father and the defendants No.2 to 6 are the brothers of plaintiff’s vendor’s vendor, as such they have no semblance of right or interest in the schedule property. It is submitted that in the recent past the values of the schedule property as well as other landed properties in the vicinity of the schedule property is increased day by day in multi fold taking this as an undue advantage the defendants herein make their evil eye over the same and started interference in the lawful possession of the plaintiff over the schedule property, but on all occasions the plaintiff herein resisted the illegal and high handed activities of the defendants and their yes men and she could able to retain her lawful possession of the schedule property is also false. It is also submitted that in this monsoon the plaintiff has raised cotton crop in the schedule property and while the thing stood thus on 26-12-2022 while the plaintiff is cultivating the schedule property, the defendants herein along with their yes men and unsocial elements came to the schedule property and created havoc situation infront of the schedule property and by using their force tried to disposess the plaintiff from the schedule property and also tried to damage her cotton crop is also false and denied. As such, the plaintiff herein with the timely intervention of her family members, nearby , passersby and well wishers could resisted the illegal and high handed activities of the defendants and their yes men and she could able to retain her lawful possession of the schedule property is also false and denied

3) It is submitted that the defendants are rank one land grabbers having money, muscle and political influence in the society is also false and denied. The plaintiff is being the lady not in a position to resist the illegal and high handed of the defendants and their yes men and she is not a match for them is also false and denied. Therefore, again on 30-1-2023 the defendants herein again along with their extra force came to the schedule property and caused interference in her lawful possession of the schedule property and tried to dispossess her from the schedule property is also false and denied . At this time the plaintiff herein with the help of her family members and well wishers could resisted the illegal and high handed activities of the defendants and their yes men and she could able to retain her lawful possession is also false and denied. Therefore, the plaintiff herein lodged a complaint before the concerned Police in this regard, but the defendants herein who are highly influential persons in the locality managed the concerned police in registering any case against them is also false, therefore the police at the influence of the defendants did not initiate any action and advised the plaintiff to seek her remedies by approaching competent civil court is also false and denied, since the subject matter is civil in its nature. Hence, the plaintiff has left with no other alternative seeking the redressal of the Hon’ble court for its intervention for grant of perpetual injunction restarting the defendants, their yes men, unsocial element, heirs, successors, women folk, attorneys and all other persons acting on their behalf in any way causing interference in the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the plaintiff over the schedule property or any part thereof is also false and denied . The suit is filed only with an intention to gran the suit land under the guise of the Ad-intrem Injuction order infact the petitioner/plaintiff or her vendor never in possession over the suit land the suit facts are totally different from true facts and circumstances as till today neither vendor of the plaintiff nor vendor of vendor got any possession over the suit land .

4)THE REAL FACTS OF THE CASE ARE AS UNDER

i)At first instances the defendants No1 is the father of the D2 to D6 and late Potha Raju Raghupal. In fact Potha Raju Raghupal was his 5th son of defendant No1.Defendant No1 is having about 10-00 Acrs in verious survey numbers out of which only in suit Sy.No an extent area 8-00 Acres at about long back in the year of 1995 the defendant No1, he himself recorded his sons names keeping in the mind that they may divide in future for which the defendant No1 approximately recorded to each son about extent 1-28 gts, but not partitioned physically on the spot because of the entire Agricultureand family look after by the defendant No1 with the help of the defendant 2and 3. In fact the sons of the defendant no.1 who they are here in the defendant 4 and 5 and late Raghupal .The defendant no.4 used to work in private finanace in Husnabad .The Defendant no.5 used to run oil mill and Greensedge school at Huzurabad .the late Raghupal was the 5th son of the defendant no.1 who was also one of the director and partner in the green sedge school .The Defendant 6 is a Doctor running a private clinic at Huzurabad as only the defendant 1 to 3 doing the agriculture by cultivating the entire land which is on the name of the defendant no.1 to 6 including the suit land.

ii)It is submitted that,The late Potharaju Raghupal during his lifetime he was living at Huzurabad to maintain the green sedge school at that time he was fell in to financial crisis as he had taken some loans from Merugu Mahipal reddy.the said Merugu Mahipal reddy used to harass the late Raghupal as under unbearable circumstances he got registered the suit land in favour of Merugu Mahipal Reddy without knowledge and consent of the defendant No.1 to 6. In the same time the late Raghupal requested his third brother to assist finanacially .As being his own brother the defendant no3 . requested his son-in-law to assist to late Raghupal .As the son-in-law of defendant no.3 by name Raineni Ramanaiah assisted financially an amount of rs. 13,00,000/- (Thirteen Lakhs) for which the late Raghupal executed a simple sale deed on 5-2-2017 which is prior to execution of the Registered sale deed executed by the late Raghupal in fever of the vendor of the plaintiff . After coming to know the execution of the registered sale deed in fever of vendor of the plaintiff the all the defendants and Raineni Ramanaiah questioned the late Raghupal and vendor of the plaintiff .But the Late Raghupal assured to clear and set-up the litigation with vendor of the plaintiff but if he alive the entire litigation could not be arise but due to covidh pandemic the late Potharaju Raghupal fell in to sick and due to covid passitive he ended his life tragically .The late Raghupal in collusion with Merugu Mahipal Reddy again executed a sale deed infever of this so called plaintiff by suppressing the simple sale deed in fever of the Raineni Ramanaiah .Infact the land is transferring only on the papers by registering one to one and another but till to-day the possession is in the hands of the defendant no.1 these are the real facts the case .At present Raineni Ramanaiah got the simple sale deed prior to execution of the the registered sale deed in fever of the Merugu Mahipal Reddy . Infact the entire episode is financial matter and rounding in the family of the defendants.It is further submitted that the Raineni Ramanaiah is non other then who is own son –in-law of the defendants 2 to 6 and grand son of the defendant no.1 .The Late Potharaju Raghupal recived the substantial sale consideration an amount of rs. 13,00,000/- as the Raineni Ramanaiah also trusted his father-in-law ,but the late Raghupal with an intention to cheat the Ramanaiah transferred the land one to another with out any possession .

II)It is further submitted the socalled plaintiff knowing fully well the dispute in between the vendor of the plaintiff and defendant no 1to 6 he with an intention to cause loss he entered in to the sale transaction with Merugu Mahipal Reddy . The Plaintiff in collusion with the Merugu Mahipal Reddy brought in to existence of the so called registered sale deed with out any possession .Infact the plaintiff after she got the registeration her husband came in to village and conducted the panchayath in the panchayath the elderly persons resolved that the defendants or Raineni ramanaiah has to give an amount of rs 5,50,000/- to the plaintiff and has to get registered in fever of the Raineni Ramanaiah or infever of the defendants 1 to 6 the same is reduced into writing as the per the resolution when the defendants prepared and Raineni Ramanaiah called the plaintiff to recive the said amount of rs. 5,50,000/-and get the registered the suit land as per the terms and conditions of resolution dt 17-6-2019.It is further submitted that the entire litigation already settled and closed only the plaintiff has to recive the amount and formally she has to execute the registered sale deed in fever of the Defendant no.1 to 6 or their ordered person which is resolved before the elders in which the Husband of the plaintiff by name Potharaju Yadagiri also participated on behalf of the plaintiff and signed on the resolution before the elders on 17-6-2019 without complying the resolution terms and conditions filed this false suit with an intention to earn and get the physical possession over the suit land under the guise of the Ad-Intrem Injuction order, these are the real facts of the .In fact till today there is no possession has been delivered to the plaintiff only with an intention to violate the terms and conditions of the elderly persons resolution filed this false suit .Infact this plaintiff has no possession over the suit land only under the guise Ad-Intrem Injuction order she filed this false suit with usurp the possesstion rights of the defendants.Infact the husband of the plaintiff is signied on the resolution and he also assured to recive the said amount but now he is back up to his wife to file this false suit these are the real facts of the case in fact if the plaintiff agreed to receive the same she can receive the said amount otherwise the defendants or the said Raineni Ramanaiah will file a suit by virtue of the simple sale deed and with copy of the resolution which was executed by the Husband of the plaintiff at present the plaintiff and her husband is living in the one roof then the husband is also responsible for the resolution made accepted by him these are the real facts of the case

viewed from any angle the suit filed by the plaintiff is not maintainable only for the nominal registration with out possession the rights can not confer any thing in fever of the plaintiff as the suit liable to be dismissed

Defendant no1 Defendant no.2 Defendant no3

Defendant no.4 Defendant no.5 Defendant .6

Verification :- We the defendants 1 to6 what we stated in paragraph no 1 to 4 are true and correct and to the best of our knowledge and belief and the same is read over to us in telugu language and the same is understood by us and verified the same before our counsel

Defendant no1 Defendant no.2 Defendant no3

Defendant no.4 Defendant no.5 Defendant .6

Counsel for the defendants

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE AT HUZURABAD

I.A. NO.133 OF 2023

IN

O.S.NO OF 2023

Between: Smt. Potharaju Aruna

Petitioner/Plaintiff

And

1. Potharaju Venkataiah
2. Potharaju Yadagiri
3. Potharaju Veeraiah
4. Potharaju Ramaiah @ Ramulu
5. Potharaju Lachaiah
6. Potharaju Srinivas
7. Respondents/ Defendants

COUNTER FILED BY THE DEFENDANT NO.1 TO 6

The Respondents no 1 to 6 submitting their counter/written statement in which the all those things are denied by these respondents/ defendants except specifically admitted by these defendants

1)It is submitted that the petitioner/plaintiff is the absolute owner and possessor of the Agricultural Lands out of Sy. No. 954 / A to an extent of Ac.0.09 guntas, Sy. No. 955-10/B to an extent of Sy.No. 955/7B to an Extent of Ac.0.07 guntas, Sy. No. 955/8/A to an extent of Ac.0.04 guntas, Sy .No. 955/8 to an extent Of Ac.0.13 guntas which is one compact block total to the extent of Ac.0.39 guntas situated at , Akunoor Gram Panchayath, Saidapoor Mandal, of Karimnagar District,is false and denied , the particulars of which are more vividly given in the schedule is false and received annexed hereto and the same is hereinafter be referred to as “Suit Schedule Property” for better convenience of the Hon’ble Court. It is further submitted that originally one Mr. Potharaju Raghu Pal, S/o. Venkataiah, R/o. Vekkenapally Village, Saidapur Mandal, Karimnagar District is the absolute owner, possessor and pattedar of the schedule property is false. His name has been lurking in the entire Revenue Records in ownership, possessory and pattedar columns and he also assigned with Pattedar and Title Deed Pass Books by the then Tahsildar , Saidapur Mandal vide Patta No. 661 and Pass Book No.278816 is also false. It is also submitted that the said pattedar in order to meet his family and personal requirements has alienated the schedule property in favour of one Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy, S/o. Ram reddy , R/o. Dammakkapet, H/o. Huzurabad Mandal, of Karimnagar District under the Regd. Sale document bearing No .2081/2017 , Dated:13-06-2017 is also false. It is further submitted that after purchase the schedule property the said purchaser Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy approached before the Revenue Authorities and made application to mutate his name in the Revenue Records is also false and denied. As such, the Revenue Authorities and having satisfied with the valid title and possession of the petitioners/plaintiff’s vendor Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy mutated his name in the entire Revenue Records pertaining to the schedule property and also the Government of Telangana State has issued Digital Pass Book in his favors vide Pass Book No.T17150101431 vide Khata No.2082 is also false and denied. It is further submitted that the Petitioner/plaintiff’s vendor used to cultivate the schedule property and used to enjoy the usufruct of the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interfearence of any one is also false. It is also submitted that, it is not out of the place to mention that the Petitioners/plaintiff’s vendor Mr. Merugu Mahipal Reddy in order to meet his requirements alienated the schedule property in favour of the petitioner/plaintiff herein under the Regd. Sale document bearing No. 2360/2019, Dated: 02-05-2019 by receiving the valid sale consideration with defacto delivery of possession is also false. As such, the petitioner/ plaintiff has been inducted into the possession of the schedule property and she has been enjoying the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interfearence of any one is also false. It is further submitted that the petitioner/plaintiff’s name also mutated in the entire Revenue Record pertaining to the schedule property in ownership, possessory and pattedar columns and the Government of Telangana State also assigned Digital Pass Book to thepetitioner/ plaintiff herein bearing Pass Book NO. T17150103037 vide Khata No.60828 and that the petitioner/plaintiff has been cultivating the schedule property and enjoying the usufruct of the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interference of any one is also false. It is also submitted that all the aforesaid facts and circumstances stared supra are vouch safe for that there is legal flow of title to the petitioner/plaintiff pertaining to the schedule property and she had been enjoying the same with all absolute ownership and attendant rights without the interference of any one is also false. The Petitioner/plaintiff in lieu of her contention is herewith filing all relevant and important documents which may be read as part and parcel of thepetition/ plaint for better adjudication of the matter is also the false. Which are created only for the pupose of this suit only with an intention to grab the suit land filed this false suit

2)It is submitted that the Respondents /Defendants are no way concerned with all suit schedule property or any part thereof and they are strangers and imposters for the same. It is also submitted that the Respondentsno.1/defendant No.1 is the father and the Respondents 2to 6/defendants No.2 to 6 are the brothers of petitioners/plaintiff’s vendor’s vendor, as such they have no semblance of right or interest in the schedule property. It is submitted that in the recent past the values of the schedule property as well as other landed properties in the vicinity of the schedule property is increased day by day in multi fold taking this as an undue advantage the Respondents/defendants herein make their evil eye over the same and started interference in the lawful possession of the petitioner/plaintiff over the schedule property, but on all occasions the petitioner/plaintiff herein resisted the illegal and high handed activities of the Respondents/defendants and their yes men and she could able to retain her lawful possession of the schedule property is also false. It is also submitted that in this monsoon the Petitioner/ plaintiff has raised cotton crop in the schedule property and while the thing stood thus on 26-12-2022 while the Petitioner/plaintiff is cultivating the schedule property, the Respondents/sdefendants herein along with their yes men and unsocial elements came to the schedule property and created havoc situation infront of the schedule property and by using their force tried to disposess the petitioner/plaintiff from the schedule property and also tried to damage her cotton crop is also false and denied. As such, the Petitioner/ plaintiff herein with the timely intervention of her family members, nearby , passersby and well wishers could resisted the illegal and high handed activities of the Respondents/defendants and their yes men and she could able to retain her lawful possession of the schedule property is also false and denied

3) It is submitted that the Respondents/defendants are rank one land grabbers having money, muscle and political influence in the society is also false and denied. The petitioner/plaintiff is being the lady not in a position to resist the illegal and high handed of the Respondents/defendants and their yes men and she is not a match for them is also false and denied. Therefore, again on 30-1-2023 the Respondents/ defendants herein again along with their extra force came to the schedule property and caused interference in her lawful possession of the schedule property and tried to dispossess her from the schedule property is also false and denied . At this time the petitioner/plaintiff herein with the help of her family members and well wishers could resisted the illegal and high handed activities of the Respondents/defendants and their yes men and she could able to retain her lawful possession is also false and denied. Therefore, the petitioner/plaintiff herein lodged a complaint before the concerned Police in this regard, but the Respondents/defendants herein who are highly influential persons in the locality managed the concerned police in registering any case against them is also false, therefore the police at the influence of the Respondents/defendants did not initiate any action and advised the petitioner/plaintiff to seek her remedies by approaching competent civil court is also false and denied, since the subject matter is civil in its nature. Hence, the petitioner/plaintiff has left with no other alternative seeking the redressal of the Hon’ble court for its intervention for grant of perpetual injunction restarting the respondents/defendants, their yes men, unsocial element, heirs, successors, women folk, attorneys and all other persons acting on their behalf in any way causing interference in the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner/plaintiff over the schedule property or any part thereof is also false and denied . The suit is filed only with an intention to grab petition land / the suit land under the guise of the Ad-intrem Injuction order infact the petitioner/plaintiff or her vendor never in possession over the suit land the suit facts are totally different from true facts and circumstances as till today neither vendor of the petitioner/plaintiff nor vendor of vendor got any possession over the suit land .

4)THE REAL FACTS OF THE CASE ARE AS UNDER

i)At first instances the Respondent no.1/defendants No1 is the father of the Res.2 to6/D2 to D6 and late Potha Raju Raghupal. In fact Potha Raju Raghupal was the 5th son of respondent no.1/defendant No1. The Respondent no.1/Defendant No1 is having about 10-00 Acrs land in verious survey numbers out of which only in suit Sy.No 954 and 955 an extent area 8-00 Acres is situated , at about long back in the year of 1995 the Respondent no1/defendant No1, he himself recorded his sons names keeping in the mind that they may divide in future for which the Respondent no.1/defendant No1 approximately recorded to each son about extent 1-28 gts, but not partitioned physically on the spot because of the entire Agriculture land of family look after by the Respondent no.1/defendant No1 with the help of the Respondent no.2 and 3/defendant 2and 3. In fact the sons of the Respondent no.1/defendant no.1 who they are here in the Respondent no.4 and 5/defendant 4 and 5 and late Raghupal .TheRespondent no.4/ defendant no.4 used to work in private finanace in Husnabad .The Respondent no5/Defendant no.5 used to run oil mill and Greensedge school at Huzurabad .the late Raghupal was the 5th son of the Respondent no.1/defendant no.1 who was also one of the director and partner in the green sedge school .TheRespondent no.6/ Defendant 6 is a Doctor running a private clinic at Huzurabad as only the Respondent no1 to 3/defendant 1 to 3 doing the agriculture by cultivating the entire land which is on the name of theRespondent no1to 6/ defendant no.1 to 6 including the suit land.

ii)It is submitted that,The late Potharaju Raghupal during his lifetime he was living at Huzurabad to maintain the green sedge school at that time he was fell in to financial crisis as he had taken some loans from Merugu Mahipal reddy.the said Merugu Mahipal reddy used to harass the late Raghupal as under unbearable circumstances he got registered the suit land in favour of Merugu Mahipal Reddy without knowledge and consent of the Respondent 1 to 6/defendant No.1 to 6. In the same time the late Raghupal requested his third brother to assist finanacially .As being his own brother the Respondent no.3/defendant no3 . requested his son-in-law to assist to late Raghupal .As the son-in-law of Respondent no.3/defendant no.3 by name Raineni Ramanaiah assisted financially and given an amount of rs. 13,00,000/- (Thirteen Lakhs) for which the late Raghupal executed a simple sale deed on 5-2-2017 which is prior to execution of the Registered sale deed executed by the late Raghupal in fever of the vendor of the Petitioner/plaintiff . After coming to know the execution of the registered sale deed in fever of vendor of the plaintiff the all the defendants and Raineni Ramanaiah questioned the late Raghupal and vendor of the plaintiff .But the Late Raghupal assured to clear and set-up the litigation with vendor of the plaintiff but if he alive the entire litigation could not be arise but due to covidh pandemic the late Potharaju Raghupal fell in to sick and due to covid passitive he ended his life tragically .The late Raghupal in collusion with Merugu Mahipal Reddy again executed a sale deed infever of this so called plaintiff by suppressing the simple sale deed in fever of the Raineni Ramanaiah .Infact the land is transferring only on the papers by registering one to one and another but till to-day the possession is in the hands of the defendant no.1 these are the real facts the case .At present Raineni Ramanaiah got the simple sale deed prior to execution of the the registered sale deed in fever of the Merugu Mahipal Reddy . Infact the entire episode is financial matter and rounding in the family of the defendants.It is further submitted that the Raineni Ramanaiah is non other then who is own son –in-law of the defendants 2 to 6 and grand son of the defendant no.1 .The Late Potharaju Raghupal recived the substantial sale consideration an amount of rs. 13,00,000/- as the Raineni Ramanaiah also trusted his father-in-law ,but the late Raghupal with an intention to cheat the Ramanaiah transferred the land one to another with out any possession .

II)It is further submitted the socalled plaintiff knowing fully well the dispute in between the vendor of the plaintiff and defendant no 1to 6 he with an intention to cause loss he entered in to the sale transaction with Merugu Mahipal Reddy . The Plaintiff in collusion with the Merugu Mahipal Reddy brought in to existence of the so called registered sale deed with out any possession .Infact the plaintiff after she got the registeration her husband came in to village and conducted the panchayath in the panchayath the elderly persons resolved that the defendants or Raineni ramanaiah has to give an amount of rs 5,50,000/- to the plaintiff and has to get registered in fever of the Raineni Ramanaiah or infever of the defendants 1 to 6 the same is reduced into writing as the per the resolution when the defendants prepared and Raineni Ramanaiah called the plaintiff to recive the said amount of rs. 5,50,000/-and get the registered the suit land as per the terms and conditions of resolution dt 17-6-2019.It is further submitted that the entire litigation already settled and closed only the plaintiff has to recive the amount and formally she has to execute the registered sale deed in fever of the Defendant no.1 to 6 or their ordered person which is resolved before the elders in which the Husband of the plaintiff by name Potharaju Yadagiri also participated on behalf of the plaintiff and signed on the resolution before the elders on 17-6-2019 without complying the resolution terms and conditions filed this false suit with an intention to earn and get the physical possession over the suit land under the guise of the Ad-Intrem Injuction order, these are the real facts of the .In fact till today there is no possession has been delivered to the plaintiff only with an intention to violate the terms and conditions of the elderly persons resolution filed this false suit .Infact this plaintiff has no possession over the suit land only under the guise Ad-Intrem Injuction order she filed this false suit with usurp the possesstion rights of the defendants.Infact the husband of the petitioner/ plaintiff is signied on the resolution and he also assured to recive the said amount but now he is back up to his wife to file this false petitions/suit these are the real facts of the case in fact if the petitioner/plaintiff agreed to receive the same she can receive the said amount otherwise the Respondents/defendants or the said Raineni Ramanaiah will file a suit by virtue of the simple sale deeds executed by the Late Raghupal on 5-2-2017 and 16-3-2018 with copy of the resolution which was executed by the Husband of the plaintiff at present the petitioner/plaintiff and her husband is living in the one roof then the husband is also responsible for the resolution made accepted by him these are the real facts of the case

viewed from any angle the petition/suit filed by the petition/ plaintiff is not maintainable only for the nominal registration with out possession the rights can not confer any thing in fever of the petitioner/plaintiff as the petition is liable to be dismissed

Respondent no1 Respondent no.2 Respondent no3

Respondent no.4 Respondent no.5 Respondent .6

Verification :- We the Respondent /defendants 1 to6 what we stated in paragraph no 1 to 4 are true and correct and to the best of our knowledge and belief and the same is read over to us in telugu language and the same is understood by us and verified the same before our counsel

Respondent no1 Respondent no.2 Respondent no3

Respondent no.4 Respondent no.5 Respondent .6

Counsel for the defendants